# Safe passwords made easy to use

Nikola K. Blanchard <sup>1</sup>, Leila Gabasova <sup>2</sup>, Clément Malaingre <sup>3</sup>, Ted Selker<sup>4</sup>, Eli Sennesh<sup>5</sup>

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>IRIF, Université Paris Diderot

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>Institut de Planétologie et d'Astrophysique de Grenoble

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup>Teads France

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup>University of California, Berkeley

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup>Northeastern University

Passwords are bad, m'kay?

# Too many passwords

### State of password use:

- Average user has  $\sim$  100 accounts
- Creates 50 passwords per year on average
- Often counterproductive constraints, avoided by users (e.g. 1@MyPassword)

# Too many passwords

### State of password use:

- Average user has  $\sim$  100 accounts
- Creates 50 passwords per year on average
- Often counterproductive constraints, avoided by users (e.g. 1@MyPassword)

### Because of this:

- High rate of re-use (75% of users)
- Lots of sharing (40% of users)
- Frequent loss of passwords (40% to 60% reinitialised every 3 months)

## **Authentication methods**

### Multiple alternatives to secure access:

- Biometrics: have been durably hackable...
- Defer to a service (Facebook connect): trust issues
- Physical devices: introduce other vulnerabilities
- Password managers: single point of failure

# **Authentication methods**

## Multiple alternatives to secure access:

- · Biometrics: have been durably hackable...
- Defer to a service (Facebook connect): trust issues
- Physical devices: introduce other vulnerabilities
- Password managers: single point of failure

### Methods to make passwords better:

- Salt + variable ending: soon vulnerable
- Blum's algorithm: costly
- Passphrases: not compatible with constraints

It seems we're stuck with passwords!

### Constraints

Constraints for a good password management algorithm:

- · High entropy for each password
- High residual entropy against stolen clear-text passwords
- Memorable even without frequent use (hence deterministic)
- Easy to understand by non-Turing-award-winners
- Compatible with frequent constraints

Idea: mentally extract entropy from a large secret

## Cue-Pin-Select

## High level view:

- Create one high-enropy passphrase and a 4-digit PIN
- Create a 4-letter cue for each service
- Deterministically extract 4 trigrams from the sentence using the PIN and the cue

# Example run

cps-full-2x2b.png



# Bruteforcing Cue-Pin-Select

Today's standard for web services: 36-42 bits (30 years at 1000 tries/s).

Brute-force against Cue-Pin-Select:

- Naive against a password  $\rightarrow$  56 bits
- Optimised dictionary against a password ightarrow 52 bits
- Naive against passphrase  $\rightarrow$  210 bits
- Dictionary against passphrase ightarrow 111 bits

### Clear-text attacks

To simplify analysis, Very strong adversary model, who knows:

- 1+ passwords
- · Length of the passphrase
- Position of each revealed trigram in the sentence

| Residual entropy for single clear-text (empirical on 10 000 tries) |        |  |  |  |
|--|--------|--|--|--|
|  | p1.eps |  |  |  |
|  |        |  |  |  |

# Residual entropy for multiple clear-texts (empirical on 10 000 tries) p2p3.eps

# User experiment

### After 4-day experiment:

- High initial cost (82s on average), and multiple errors initially
- Quick speed-up, down to 42s after two days, with pen and paper
- Increase when shift to mental computation only (86s)
- Speed-up over the last day (down to 57s), no errors
- Large variability, 24s-71s

# Adaptability

Algorithm can be extended to handle:

- Number and special characters
- Length constraints
- Frequent changes

# Cue-Pin-Select Summary

### Cue-Pin-Select:

- 52 bits security per password
- Guaranteed resistance to single clear-text attack, probable resistance to 2-3 clear-text
- Can create 500+ passwords without high risk of strong partial collision
- Quick learning process to get under 1 min
- · According to models, strongly memorable
- Natural extension to handle frequent constraints
- Other extension to improve security

How to choose a passphrase?

# Current methods to make passphrase

First possibility: let people choose them

### Problems:

- Sentences from literature (songs/poems)
- Famous sentences (2.55% of users chose the same sentence in a large experiment)
- Low entropy sentences with common words

# Current methods to make passphrase

First possibility: let people choose them

### Problems:

- Sentences from literature (songs/poems)
- Famous sentences (2.55% of users chose the same sentence in a large experiment)
- Low entropy sentences with common words

Second possibility: random generation

### Limits:

- Small dictionary if we want to make sure people know all words
- · Harder to memorise

What if we take the best of both world?

# Passphrase choice experiment

We show 20 or 100 words to users, they have to pick – and remember – six.

### Questions:

- · What factors influence their choices?
- What is the effect on entropy?
- What are the most frequent mistakes?
- · How is memorisation affected?

### **Protocol**

### Simple protocol:

- Show a list of 20/100 random words from a large dictionary
- Ask to choose and write down 6 words (imposed on the control group)
- Show them the sentence and ask them to memorise, with little exercise to help them.
- Distractor task: show them someone else's word list and ask to guess the word choice
- Ask them to write the initial sentence

# Initial hypotheses on choice

We are principally looking for three effects:

- Positional effects: choose words in certain places
- Semantic effects: choose familiar words
- Syntactic effects: create sentences/meaning

# Positional bias

| heatmap20n.jpg |  |  |
|----------------|--|--|
| heatmap20n.jpg |  |  |
|                |  |  |

# Positional bias heatmap100n.jpg

# Syntactic bias

# Syntactic effects:

- Average frequency (< 50%) of meaningful sentences
- 65 different syntactic structures for 99 sentences
- · Single frequent structure: six nouns in a row

# Semantic bias histogram\_absolute.eps

# Semantic bias relind20.eps

# Semantic bias relind100.eps

# **Choosing models**

Three main models to analyse user's choice

Uniform: every word with equal probability

Smallest: Take the six most frequent words from the list shown

Corpus: every word taken with probability proportional to its use in natural language.

The word of rank  $r_k$  is taken with probability:

$$\frac{\frac{\frac{1}{r_k}}{\sum_{i=1}^n \frac{1}{r_i}}$$

# Entropy curves

cdfs-en.eps

# Error comparison

| Section | Correct | Туро | Variant | Order | Miss | Wrong |
|---------|---------|------|---------|-------|------|-------|
| 1:20    | 19/47   | 6    | 8       | 6     | 26   | 5     |
| 1:100   | 26/51   | 10   | 5       | 3     | 16   | 4     |
| Control | 6/26    | 11   | 11      | 10    | 31   | 12    |
| 2:20    | 14/29   | 1    | 2       | 8     | 0    | 3     |
| 2:100   | 15/26   | 4    | 2       | 3     | 1    | 4     |



# Passphrase choice method

### Advantage with 100-word list:

- Secure: 97% of maximal entropy, 30% increase over uniform with limited dictionary
- · Memorable: error rate divided by 4
- Lightweight: <1MB tool, can and should be used inside a browser

# Passphrase choice method

### Advantage with 100-word list:

- Secure: 97% of maximal entropy, 30% increase over uniform with limited dictionary
- · Memorable: error rate divided by 4
- Lightweight: <1MB tool, can and should be used inside a browser</li>

### Limitations:

- Requires more testing for long-term memory
- Depends on the user's will

# Questions

### Questions on passphrase choice:

- · What is the optimal number of words to show?
- · Is it interesting to take even bigger dictionaries?
- Can this method and Cue-Pin-Select be applied to languages with small vocabularies (Esperanto)
- What is the best way to model user choice?

# Future password research

### Our recent work:

- Typo-tolerant password checkers
- · Culturally neutral codes and passwords for e-voting

### Planned research:

- Better attack models with fewer assumption to prove higher resistance
- Mental computing cost model to test password algorithms without user experiments
- Alternative to Cue-Pin-Select that works in <30s</li>

# Questions?

cps-full-2x2b.png

# **Entropy comparison**

| Strategy        | Entropy (bits) | Strategy        | Entropy |
|-----------------|----------------|-----------------|---------|
| Uniform(87,691) | 16.42          | Smallest(20)    | 12.55   |
| Corpus(13)      | 16.25          | Uniform(5,000)  | 12.29   |
| Corpus(17)      | 16.15          | Uniform(2,000)  | 10.97   |
| Corpus(20)      | 16.10          | Smallest(100)   | 10.69   |
| Corpus(30)      | 15.92          | Corpus(300,000) | 8.94    |
| Corpus(100)     | 15.32          | Corpus(87,691)  | 8.20    |
| Uniform(10,000) | 13.29          |                 |         |

# Syntactic bias

# Syntactic effects:

- Average frequency (< 50%) of meaningful sentences</li>
- 65 different syntactic structures for 99 sentences
- · Single frequent structure: six nouns in a row

# Syntactic bias histogram\_syntactic.eps

# Syntactic bias

### Passphrase examples:

- Inquisitive archduchess wrestles comparatively apologetic pelicans
- Monotone customers circling submerging canteen pumpkins
- Furry grills minidesk newsdesk deletes internet
- Here telnet requests unemotional globalizing joinery
- Brunette statisticians asked patriarch endorses dowry
- · Marginal thinker depressing kitty carcass sonatina